New York City Department of Education 2025 – 2026 Assessment of Contracts for Excellence Public Comment

Public Comment Timeline

June 4th – June 16th	Five borough-wide public hearings were conducted. The full schedule of these hearings can be found here <u>https://infohub.nyced.org/reports/financial/contracts-for-</u> <u>excellence</u>
June 4th – July 8th	33 public hearings were held. The full schedule of these hearings can be found here <u>https://infohub.nyced.org/reports/financial/contracts-for- excellence</u>
July 8th, 2025	Public comment period concluded.

Overview of Public Comment Period

Between June 4th and June 16th the New York City Department of Education held five virtual public hearings to discuss its proposed 2025-26 Contracts for Excellence Plan. In addition, between June 4th and July 8th, public hearings were held in each of the 32 Community School Districts and the Citywide Council on High Schools. During these hearings and for the duration of the public comment period, the public was given the opportunity to provide comments on the proposed plan.

The New York City Department of Education thanks all parents, caregivers, community members, superintendents, school employees and Community Education Council (CEC) members who took the time to participate in the 2025-2026 Contracts for Excellence public engagement process.

Summary	of C)uestions.	Comments and Concerns

Торіс	C4E Programs
Substance of	Several commenters expressed concern about adequate outreach
Comments	to families notifying them of the hearings
DOE Response	DOE emailed advance notice of the commencement of the
	Contracts for Excellence public process to principals and City and
	State elected officials. Advance public notice was also posted on

New York City Department of Education 2025 – 2026 Assessment of Contracts for Excellence Public Comment

	the DOE's website and on Chalkbeat. In addition, DOE worked with
	Community Education Councils in advance of the public process
	to coordinate the district presentations.
Торіс	5 Year Class size Reduction Plan
Substance of	Need for a Multi-Year Plan and Additional Detail: In some
Comments	hearings and written comments, public commenters advocated for
	the development of a multi-year plan and for additional detail in
	the plan.
DOE Response	DOE continues to work with the United Federation of Teachers
	(UFT) and the Council for School Supervisors and Administrators
	(CSA) to develop detailed annual Class Size Reduction plans.
	Much of the work to reduce class size occurs well in advance of the
	plan release to align with school timelines. NYCPS will take this
	feedback into consideration as we continue work to develop class
	size reduction plans for the 26-27 and 27-28 school years. Given
	the substantial funding and staffing changes required by the law,
	NYCPS is also looking to learn from each year of implementation
	and feedback from schools to inform future planning.
Substance of	Enrollment Capping: Several commenters stated their desire for
Comments	DOE to use enrollment caps to reduce class sizes. Commenters
	also suggested balancing enrollment across underutilized schools,
	and moving Pre-K and 3-K programs out of district schools to
	secure additional space.
DOE Response	As indicated in the draft plan, in SY 2025-26, capping school
	enrollment is not a necessary or preferred strategy to meet class
	size requirements. Principals may make minor changes to
	enrollment but may not limit student enrollment in order to meet
	the class size targets. The overall benchmark for the 25-26 school
	year is 60%, which DOE believes will be met through new hiring,

	reprogramming, and other strategies available to schools without
	limiting incoming enrollment. The school-driven planning process
	allows schools to design their own approach to improving the
	percentage of classes below the newly mandated caps, without
	reducing access to school programming or reducing enrollment.
	As a result of this process, NYCPS has allocated over \$400 million
	dollars to schools to hire an additional 3700 teachers and 100 APs
	to approximately 750 schools to reduce class sizes.
	The law also provides for exemptions which require negotiation
	with both UFT and the CSA.
Substance of	Inadequate Funding and Application Process: Several
Comments	commenters expressed that the funding provided to schools is
	insufficient or does not reach all schools that need it. They noted
	that the current application process is not working for schools
	without additional space, and that budget constraints are forcing
	some schools to consolidate classes, directly contradicting class
	size mandates.
DOE Response	NYCPS recognizes that not all schools that applied received
	funding; however, all schools had the option to develop and submit
	a plan regardless of whether or not they had space to fully comply.
	These proposals resulted in over \$400 million of additional funding
	allocated to approximately 750 schools to hire an additional 3700
	teachers and 100 APs. NYCPS continues to work to fund schools in
	alignment with the Class Size law's requirement, with the
	benchmark for the 25-26 school year being 60%. This is on top of
	substantial additional investments in school budgets for this
	current year and next year already, including: in FY 2025, \$182
	million in baselined for Contracts for Excellence and Class Size, \$1
L	1

	billion in baselined additional funds in FY 2025 to support costs
	previously supported by federal stimulus, growth in FSF and the
	new FSF weights, and over \$225 million in Hold Harmless funds
	(distributed beginning of year and mid-year); in FY 2026, schools
	have received over \$130 in Hold Harmless funds.
Substance of	Space Constraints and Overcrowding: A major barrier identified
Comments	by multiple speakers and public comments is the lack of adequate
	classroom space in many schools, including in Queens. Attendees
	and commenters raised concerns about the pace of new school
	construction. Concerns were also raised about the School
	Construction Authority's (SCA) estimated need for 75,000 seats
	and cuts to construction funding.
DOE Response	DOE continues to work closely with the SCA to identify sites. Since
	the publication of the original FY2025-2029 Five Year Capital Plan,
	the SCA has launched an aggressive effort to site seats as quickly
	as possible citywide. SCA has been working to expand
	opportunities to create seats, including site acquisition, leases,
	mixed-use buildings, and room conversions. As of the February
	2025 Amendment, nearly one third of the 33,400 funded seats have
	been sited. Since then, one additional site at 1631-1659 Zerega in
	Bronx has been authorized to move forward. The project will
	provide 547 seats on elementary school level in District 11.
	Additionally, projects to add seats have been approved at two
	schools: I.S. 228 in Brooklyn and Queens HS for Information
	Research & Technology in Queens to provide a total of 118 seats.
	Over 50 sites have been evaluated and 30 room conversion
	projects are being assessed for feasibility. SCA anticipates siting
	more projects in the upcoming Amendment.

New York City Department of Education 2025 – 2026 Assessment of Contracts for Excellence Public Comment

Substance of	Exemptions: Some commenters were concerned with the
Comments	exemptions proposed in the draft class size plan.
DOE response	DOE, UFT, and CSA have published revised exemption criteria in
	the final plan.
Substance of	Class Size at PS889 in Brooklyn: Multiple parents from PS 889
Comments	expressed concern that their school's plan was not approved for
	funding, resulting in consolidation from three second-grade
	sections to just two.
DOE Response	DOE is actively working with the superintendent to support the
	school in considering the range of available funding to support
	implementation of the law. More information about resources
	available to schools to further reduce class size in the 26-27
	school year will be available in the coming months.